Thoracolumbar Lordotic Intervention (TLI) in braces as treatment for the frequent postural deviations kyphosis and scoliosis.



P.J.M. van Loon¹, A.M. Soeterbroek², J.A.Grotenhuis ³ and T.H. Smit⁴

¹ Orthopedic surgeon, Proktovar, Hengelo, the Netherlands;

² Analyst, Chairman of Posture Network Netherlands, the Netherlands

³ Em. Prof. of Neurosurgery Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands;

⁴ Professor of Tissue Engineering; Mechanobiology of development and disease; Amsterdam

UMC, the Netherlands

28. KUBÁTOVY DNY

Authors

Piet JM van Loon

- Orthopedic and spine surgeon since 1989
- Founder Dutch Spine Society
- Cofounder Posture Network
- Inventor TLI bracing technique and Zami active sitting

Prof. Andre JA Grotenhuis

- Neurosurgeon RadboudUMC Nijmegen
- expert spinal cord malformations, CSF circulation disorders and endoscopic neurosurgery.

Andre M Soeterbroek

Chairman Posture Network. Analist and translator science to common sense

Prof. Theo Smit

Professor of Tissue Engineering; Mechanobiology of development and disease; UMC Amsterdam











TLI-bracing started by serendipity in 2000: extension in lordosis in Scheuermann and scoliosis works!

Scientific proof by "reversed engineering"





Roth: "A short cord can cause scoliosis"

Roth made connection between the basic Natural Laws of Hooke and the deepest biological knowledge on growth in Nature: posture is a matter of tension regulation



Testing on tension

Bending



Sitting





Supine







Prague symposium March 2023

TLI bracing: Opposition to Dickson's Axiom on causes : No lordosis allowed!(???)

- Boston and Cheneau based on this axiom: Lordosis is "dangerous" and it must be counteracted in bracing by bringing the thoracic spine in kyphosis.
- I started to doubt this , also by dissatisfaction with own results with Boston braces





Own observations in examination and at surgery

- Scoliosis shows neuromusculair thightness (as Scheuermann does)
- Finger floor distance is greater during progression of scoliosis (as in kyphosis)
- Thoracolumbar joint is contracted (as in kyphosis)
- Thoracolumbar is spine is kyphotic on X ray (in both)
- Central cord/ roots very thight in canal at osteotomies and visible on MRI (in both)



No support from SRS or American textbooks: It's idiopathic, you stupid!

Progressive passive correction possible because of progressive active extension







Spine 2008: Scientific proof of action in TLI

40 children with double major scoliosis Strong relationships: P< 0,001

All had kyphosis between Th10-L2



on fulcrum





SPINE Volume 33, Number 7, pp 797-801 Forced lordosis on the thoracolumbar junction can correct frontal plane deformities

TLI gives an immediate optimisation of the posture

standing sup

R

supine

Prague symposium March 202

Confirming support China





- SPINE Volume 35, Number 23, pp E1334–E1338
- An Increased Kyphosis of the Thoracolumbar Junction is Correlated to More Axial Vertebral Rotation in Thoracolumbar/Lumbar Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis
- Haijian Ni, Jin Xu, RN, and Ming Li, MD Shanghai, China



On this background of TLI we formulated and tested a congruent treatment concept:

- Pure focus on TL joint
- Symmetrical application of forces
- Extension, extension, extension (Klapp, Schroth, Heilgymnastik, yoga, Pilates etc.)
- Freedom in movement, but avoids flexed posture
- Promotes active sitting





Results

van Loon et al. Scoliosis 2012, 7:19 http://www.scoliosisjournal.com/content/7/1/19

A new brace treatment similar for adolescent scoliosis and kyphosis based on restoration of thoracolumbar lordosis. Radiological and subjective clinical results after at least one year of treatment Piet JM van Loon, Monique Roukens, Joop DJ Kuit and Frederik BTM Thunnissen

91 children.

Prospective. Consecutive. At least 1,5 year wearing time 2 groupes:

- A: scoliosis at least 25° Cobb angle
- B: kyphosis with no or minor scoliosis

Results. Progression is > 5 degrees Cobb

| | Pair | Group B Kyphosis +/- | Progression | Group A | Progression |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | Scoliosis <25° | rate | Scoliosis ≥25° | rate |
| Curve | | n mean ± SD p value | (%) | n mean±SD p value | n (%) |
| localisation | | | | | |
| Thoracic right | T0 ^{08_IB} | 7 1.6±6.6 0.55 | | 29 6.7±1.2 <0.001 | |
| | T0 ^{OB} -T1 | 5 -1.8 ± 3.8 0.35 | 0 (0%) | 28 8.9±1.7 0.38 | 6 (21%) |
| Lumbar left | T0 ^{OB_IB} | 4 7.3±6.8 0.12 | | 6 8.0 ± 3.2 0.045 | |
| | ТО ^{ов} -Т1 | 3 5.0 ± 2.0 0.049 | 0 (0%) | 6 7.2 ± 2.9 0.53 | 1 (20%) |
| Thoracolumbar left | T0 ^{08_IB} | 9 7.8±6.1 0.005 | | 26 9.0± 6.3 <0.001 | |
| | T0 ^{OB} -T1 | 8 1.8±6.7 0.49 | 1 (12%) | 24 0.92 ± 4.8 .36 | 1 (4.2%) |
| Pelvic obliquity | T0 ^{OB-IB} | 4 6.5 ± 2.1 0.008 | | 25 3.1 ± 4.1 0.001 | |
| | ТО ^{ОВ} -Т1 | 7 2.8 ± 4.2 0.12 | 0 (0%) | 23 1.1 ± 4.9 0.24 | 1 (4.3%) |
| Thoracic sagittal | T0 ^{08_IB} | 40 13.3 ± 8.9 <0.001 | | 21 8.2 ± 7.8 <0.001 | |
| | T0 ^{0B} -T1 | 6 15.7 ± 6.2 0.002 | 0 (0%) | 14 9.6 ± 10.0 0.008 | 0 (0%) |
| Thoracolumbar | T0 ^{OB_IB} | 43 7.0 ± 7.1 <0.001 | | 26 6.0 ± 6.7 <0.001 | |
| sagittal | ТО ^{ов} -Т1 | 10 7.4± 6.9 0.008 | 0 (0%) | 20 7.6± 6.6 <0.001 | 0 (0%) |
| Lumbar | T0 ^{OB_IB} | 38 8.7±2.7 <0.001 | | 21 6.7±7.7 <0.001 | |
| sagittal | T0 ^{OB} -T1 | 6 10.3±13.6 0.13 | 0 (0%) | 14 2.3 ± 7.1 0.29 | 3 (21%) |
| Pelvic incidence | ТО ^{ов-ів} | 36 6.8± 6.3 <0.001 | | 21 5.8± 6.2 0.001 | |
| | TO OB -T1 | 6 9.3 ± 9.8 0.07 | 0 (0%) | 14 5.0 ± 6.3 0.011 | 0 (0%) |
| Sacral inclination | 10.20-10 | 30 2.6± 5.9 0.01 | | 2 1.7±4.6 0.001 | |
| | ТО ^{ов} -Т1 | 6 2.2 ± 6.8 0.47 | 0% | 14 -1.1 ± 4.9 0.41 | 2 (14% |



Scoliosis curves: progression in TLI

| | Pair | Group B Kyphosis +/- Scoliosis <25° | Progression rate | Group A Scoliosis ≥25° | Progression rate |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Curve localisation | | n mean±SD pvalue | n (%) | n mean±SD pvalue | n (%) |
| Thoracic right | T0 ^{08_IB} | 7 1.6±6.6 0.55 | | 29 6.7± 1.2 <0.001 | |
| | TO ⁰⁸ -T1 | 5 -1.8± 3.8 0.35 | 0 (0%) | 28 8.9± 1.7 0.38 | 6 (21%) |
| Lumbar left | T0 ^{OB_IB} | 4 7.3±6.8 0.12 | | 6 8.0±3.2 0.045 | |
| | T0 ⁰⁸ -T1 | 3 5.0±2.0 0.049 | 0 (0%) | 6 7.2±2.9 0.53 | 1 (20%) |
| Thoracolumbar left | TO ^{DB_IB} | 9 7.8± 6.1 0.005 | | 26 9.0± 6.3 <0.001 | |
| | TO ⁰⁸ -T1 | 8 1.8±6.7 0.49 | 1 (12%) | 24 0.92±4.8 .36 | 1 (4.2%) |

Why at's different in TLI bracing in relation to other TLSO?

- No direct pressure on bony structures only on muscle bellies to get autocorrection by forcing the "cables" (muscle, fascie and tendons) in a compound pulley system
- Always symmetric forces in any indication
- Gently forces the total body in time to autocorrect the deformity (reversing Volkmann-Hueter principle)
- Focus on events in sagital plane
- Simple and efficient technique for orthotists

| Characterist ics Technique | Based on Coronal 3 point forces | Directe d at sagittal contour | Symmetri c forces | Dynami c action require d | Based on moulds or scans | Static /rigid in all Parts of TL spine | Meantime adaptations to enhance correction | Other Indications Kyphosis |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CTLSO (Milwaukee type) | + | - | - | - | +/- | ++ | - | + |
| TLSO (Boston type) | ++ | +/- | - | - | - | + | - | - |
| TLSO (Cheneau type) | ++ | +/- | - | - | + | + | - | - |
| TU | - | ++ | + | + | + | - | ++ | ++ |
| Dynamic (Spine-cor) | - | - | - | ++ | - | - | + | - |
| TriaC | +/- | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| Physio-Logic | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Night time overcorrecti on brace | ++ | - | - | - | - | + | - | - |

Results published in Scoliosis Journal 2012 Customer satisfaction and compliance



TLI concept

EXCERSISE

DYNAMIC BRACING



Conclusions on TLI bracing

- Based on indisputable knowledge on growth and tension (Roth).
- Lordotic intervention on the thoracolumbar joint restores physiologic features TL joint
- TLI is a dynamic treatment , augmented by exercises
- **Good compliance**: the child loves to get a natural posture
- TLI remodels the integrated body , not an X-ray. The muscles do the work, if they are replaced in the midline
- Simple technique for all deformities in growth
- Results: Correction is lasting and statistically significant;

THANKS!THANKS!

